

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/554,567 | 09/01/2000 | Adriano Aguzzi | 6458.US.01 | 2914 |
| Steven F Weins | 7590 10/26/2007 | | EXAM | INER |
| Abott Laboratories | | | HORNING, MICHELLE S | |
| 100 Abbott Par D 377 AP6D | 100 Abbott Park Road D 377 AP6D | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Abbott Park, IL | 60064-6050 | | 1648 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/26/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/554,567 | AGUZZI ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Michelle Horning | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | the correspondence add | iress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired | on | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the | | | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | • | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Ce eriod for payment of the issue for | ertificate of Mailing or Tra ee (and publication fee) se | insmission dated at in the Notice of | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-mo | onth period set in, the Not | tice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | • | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, th | e assignee of the entire ir | nterest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a r | epresentative capacity un | der 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai | | ecause the period for seel | king court review | | | |
| 7. 🗵 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| No filing was submitted in response to the BPAI Decision filed 8/14/2007 | | | | | | |
| Dun aufell s | BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 | Michelle Horning Patent Examiner | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice | of Abandonment | Part of Pap | per No. 20071023 | | | |